Minute Order Form (06/97)

United States District Court, Northern District of Illinois

Na	me of Assigned Jud or Magistrate Jud	~	. Reinhard	Sitting Judge if Other than Assigned Judge			
CA	ASE NUMBE	R 02 CR :	50078 - 1	DATE	6/30/	2003	
CASE TITLE			U.S.A. vs. WEBSTER				
МО	TION:	[In the following box (nature of the motion b		ing the motion, e.g., plaintiff,	defendant, 3rd party plaintif	f, and (b) state briefly the	
DOCKET ENTRY:							
(1)	□ File	Filed motion of [use listing in "Motion" box above.]					
(2)	□ Bri	Brief in support of motion due					
(3)	□ An	Answer brief to motion due Reply to answer brief due					
(4)	□ Ru	Ruling/Hearing on set for at					
(5)	□ Sta	Status hearing[held/continued to] [set for/re-set for] on set for at					
(6)	□ Pre	Pretrial conference[held/continued to] [set for/re-set for] on set for at					
(7)	□ Tri	Trial[set for/re-set for] on at					
(8)	□ [Be	Bench/Jury trial] [Hearing] held/continued to at					
(9)		This case is dismissed [with/without] prejudice and without costs[by/agreement/pursuant to] □ FRCP4(m) □ General Rule 21 □ FRCP41(a)(1) □ FRCP41(a)(2).					
(10) [Other docket entry] For the reasons stated on the reverse Memorandum Opinion and Order, Webster's motion for severance is denied.							
(11) [For further detail see order on the reverse side of the original minute order.]							
(11)		ed, advised in open court.	er on the reverse s	ide of the original minut	order.	Document	
<u> </u>	No notices require	_			number of notices	Number	
Notices mailed by judge's staff.				:			
Notified counsel by telephone.				C	UL U 1 2003	112	
Docketing to mail notices. Mail AO 450 form.				TAUGO TOTATRIE		\\ ³	
Copy to judge/magistrate judge.				THE TO	dockering fibraly initials	, ,	
/SEC		courtroom		69:1 H3 08 NO	E Gate mailed notice		
		deputy's initials		<u> </u>	ا ر ر		
				ime received in Clerk's Office	mailing deputy initials		

(Reserved for use by the Court)

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

Defendant, Nathaniel R. Webster, has been charged in all counts of a seven-count indictment for various drug-related offenses and money laundering. Four other co-defendants are named in the same indictment along with Webster. This order addresses Webster's motion for severance, filed pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 14.

Webster believes a severance is necessary because the government plans on introducing at trial certain recorded conversations between a cooperating co-defendant and co-defendant Susan L. Dalke in which Dalke apparently implicates Webster. But because Webster cannot compel Dalke to testify, he argues he will be denied his Sixth Amendment right to cross-examine her and the only way to protect that right is to sever his trial from hers. In its response, the government does not dispute it intends to use the recorded conversations at trial but argues instead their use at a joint trial of Webster and Dalke would not violate the Sixth Amendment. The court agrees.

Because Dalke's statements will likely be admissible under the hearsay exception for coconspirator statements, they do not pose a constitutional problem under the Confrontation Clause. See Fed. R. Evid. 801(d)(2)(E); Bourjaily v. United States, 483 U.S. 171, 182-83 (1987). Whether Dalke's statements satisfy the requirements of Rule 801(d)(2)(E) is another matter, but one better left for trial. As the government further points out, it is possible Dalke's statements may be admitted, with limiting instructions, for nonhearsay purposes. See generally Tennessee v. Street, 471 U.S. 409 (1985). Once again this will have to wait for trial, but it again shows that severing the trial is unnecessary. Finally, to the extent Webster believes this case involves a Bruton problem (e.g., the government seeking to introduce a confession by Dalke that she and Webster were involved in the conspiracy together, see Bruton v. United States, 391 U.S. 123 (1968)), the government has represented it will be able to adequately redact such a confession to protect Webster's Sixth Amendment rights. Webster has not claimed otherwise.

For the reasons stated above, Webster's motion for severance is denied.